Assessment #9: Comparative Analysis

Reading: Literature 9-10.1

Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

4 Cites pieces of relevant and specific text evidence to support analysis of the text. Draws evidence explicitly as well as inferentially. Justifies the text evidence selections to support the analysis.	Cites relevant text evidence to support what the text says. Draws evidence explicitly.	Restates text evidence to support what the text says. Draws evidence explicitly.	There is no, or insufficient, evidence of learning to assess the standard at this time.
--	---	---	---

Reading: Literature 9-10.7

Analyze the representation of a subject or a key scene in two different artistic mediums, including what is emphasized or absent in each treatment (e.g., Auden's "Musee des Beaux Arts" and Breughel's Landscape with the Fall of Icarus.

Analyzes the representation of a subject or a key scene in two different artistic mediums. Determines what is emphasized or absent in each account and evaluates the impact.	Analyzes the representation of a subject or a key scene in two different artistic mediums. Determines what is emphasized or absent in each account.	Compares and contrasts the representation of a subject or a key scene in two different artistic mediums. Identifies which details are emphasized in each account.	Identifies the representation of a subject or a key scene in two different artistic mediums. Lists details of each account.	There is no, or insufficient, evidence of learning to assess the standard at this time.
---	--	--	--	---

Writing: 9-10.1

Write arguments to support claims in an analysis of substantive topics or texts, using valid reasoning and relevant and sufficient evidence.

Writes a logical argument about a topic/text clarifying why the particular argument is important and valid while evoking the reader to take action. Compares how the position is one of several respecting those who might disagree. Organizes claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence into sections to build on each other. Develops using relevant facts, details, and examples. 3 Writes a logical argument about a topic/text clarifying why the particular argument is important and valid. Compares how the position is one of several. Organizes claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence into sections to build on each other. Develops using relevant facts, details, and examples. Critiques writing indicating strengths and weaknesses.	Writes an argument about a topic/text explaining why it is important. Describes how claim is one of several. Organizes claim, counterclaim, reasons, and evidence into sections. Describes reasons using facts, details, and examples.	Writes an argument about a topic/text stating claim. Identifies the claim and reasons. Lists facts, details, and examples.	There is no, or insufficient, evidence of learning to assess the standard at this time.
---	---	--	---

Assessment #9: Comparative Analysis "I Can" Statements

Reading Literature - RL 9-10.1

I can define textual evidence.

I can define inference and explain how a reader uses textual evidence to reach a logical conclusion.

I can read closely and find answers explicitly in text and answers that require an inference.

I can analyze an author's words and determine multiple pieces of textual evidence that strongly and thoroughly support both explicit and inferential questions.

Reading Literature - RL 9-10.7

I can identify a subject or a key scene that is portrayed in two different artistic mediums (e.g., poetry, painting, drama).

I can determine what is emphasized or absent in each artistic medium.

I can analyze the impact of a particular subject or key scene from another artistic medium.

2nd Semester; 10th Grade

Writing - W 9-10.1

I can analyze substantive (influential) topics or texts to determine an argument that causes or has caused a debate in society.

I can choose a side of the argument and identify claims that support my choice and claims that oppose my choice.

I can determine the credibility of a source (who wrote it, when it was written, and why it was written) and the accuracy of the details presented in the source.

I can support my claims and counterclaims by pointing out the strengths and limitations of both with textual evidence found in credible sources.

I can present my argument in a formal style that includes an introduction, supporting details with transitions that create cohesion and clarify relationships, and provide a concluding statement/section that supports my argument.